Emergency surgery in patients in extremis from blunt torso injury: heroic surgery or futile care?
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Background: Trauma strikes unexpectedly, frequently in the young and healthy. In patients who present in extremis, defined as being at the “point of death”, the immediate medical response is usually to try everything, even in the face of apparent futility. Heroic surgical intervention is rarely successful in patients after blunt injury to the torso and the results of resuscitation and emergency surgery in patients with traumatic cardiac arrest or exsanguinating blunt chest injury are known to be extremely poor. The concept of futility in the trauma patient is contentious and can provoke heated debate. Despite an increase in the understanding of the ethics of futile care and published definitions of the concept of futility, there is little clinical evidence available to guide emergency physicians and surgeons in these difficult decisions.

We have reviewed eight consecutive severely injured patients received in the resuscitation room of the emergency department, Queens Medical Centre during 2001. On arrival, all were in extremis or cardiac arrest after significant blunt injury to the torso; had a brief loss of cardiac output during resuscitation and underwent emergency surgery in an attempt to correct their injuries and preserve life. All eight patients were received by the trauma team and resuscitated according to an established trauma algorithm based on Advanced Trauma Life Support guidelines. We present these clinical vignettes, discuss the difficulties we experienced in managing these patients, and present a review of the available literature on futility in the management of trauma patients.

CASE STUDIES
Case A
A 32 year old male motorcyclist involved in a high speed crash who was in established cardiac arrest at the scene and intubated by a paramedic. On arrival in the resuscitation room he was in pulseless electrical activity (PEA). Spontaneous cardiac output returned with cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) and after a pericardiocentesis yielding frank blood. A median sternotomy was undertaken in theatre and a ventricular injury repaired, followed by laparotomy at which a grade IV/V liver laceration was packed. Resuscitation was abandoned after further deterioration.

Case B
A 19 year old man who suffered a fall from height. Although on scene he was breathing spontaneously with a Glasgow coma score (GCS) of 8 and a palpable carotid pulse, on arrival in the resuscitation room he deteriorated into PEA. He was intubated and CPR started with bilateral needle thoracocentesis, chest drainage, and a fluid challenge to which he responded. Clinical examination revealed an unstable pelvic fracture and FAST (focused assessment with sonography for trauma) ultrasound detected free intra-abdominal fluid. In theatre a pelvic fixator was placed and the abdomen packed for a grade IV liver injury. He continued to deteriorate and died in theatre.

Case C
A 37 year old male motorcyclist involved in a high speed crash found unconscious at scene and rapidly transferred to the emergency department, where he was intubated, bilateral chest drains sited with the left draining 900 ml of blood immediately, and fluid resuscitation started. An obvious wound in the left posterior triangle of the neck with significant venous bleeding was temporarily controlled with a Foley catheter and he was transferred to theatre for a left median sternotomy.
thoracotomy with trapdoor extension for ongoing blood loss. The external jugular vein had been avulsed and the left lung lacerated. He was transferred to the adult intensive care unit (AICU) but deteriorated and died shortly afterwards.

**Case D**
A 19 year old male pedestrian who was struck by a bus. He was unconscious on scene and intubated by a paramedic. In the resuscitation room fluid resuscitation was continued and bilateral chest drains placed. There was a brief loss of cardiac output and FAST detected free fluid in the abdomen. He was taken immediately to theatre and underwent laparotomy for a splenic rupture and thoracotomy for pulmonary contusions and lung lacerations. He deteriorated and died later in the AICU.

**Case E**
A 41 year old male driver involved in a motor vehicle crash. He had vital signs on scene, but rapidly deteriorated in the emergency department and was intubated, bilateral chest drains placed, and fluid resuscitation started. An unstable pelvic injury was detected. After a brief loss of cardiac output he was transferred to theatre for external pelvic fixation and laparotomy for a ruptured spleen and grade III liver lacerations. He deteriorated into a PEA arrest from which resuscitation was unsuccessful.

**Case F**
A 24 year old male driver involved in a high speed road traffic accident. The driver was conscious at scene with a systolic blood pressure of 150 mm Hg and heart rate of 100. Rapid abdominal distension and complete cardiovascular collapse shortly after admission led to immediate transfer to theatre for laparotomy and packing of a grade IV liver laceration. He continued to deteriorate and died in theatre.

**Case G**
A 35 year old male driver involved in a road traffic accident. Initially trapped in the vehicle, he was treated on scene by the hospital flying squad and bilateral tension pneumothoraces decompressed. On arrival in the emergency department he had bled significantly into the chest drains and deteriorated into a PEA arrest. Pericardiocentesis and CPR were performed with return of cardiac output on three occasions. Bilateral thoracotomy was undertaken in the resuscitation room, but despite these efforts he deteriorated further and died in the emergency department.

**Case H**
A 72 year old woman involved in a motor vehicle crash. Initial respiratory distress was relieved by treating a tension pneumothorax and insertion of a chest drain. However, further respiratory difficulties led to a PEA arrest, which responded to CPR. Computed tomography showed right sided lung contusions, a pleural effusion and suggested rupture of the left hemidiaphragm. At laparotomy a bleeding unsalvageable spleen was removed. She made a slow but steady improvement, was weaned from the ventilator at 10 days and was discharged home with no neurological impairment.

Table 1 shows physiological data from the patients’ arrival in resuscitation and injury severity score.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>GCS</th>
<th>BP (sys)</th>
<th>Pulse</th>
<th>ISS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results after ERT for trauma are equally disappointing. Current resuscitation guidelines from the American Heart Association for blunt traumatic cardiac arrest advocate urgent surgical exploration and most American trauma surgeons would undertake emergency room thoracotomy (ERT) for blunt trauma if there had been signs of life in the emergency department and cardiac arrest for less than five minutes. However, survival from out of hospital cardiac arrest has been shown to be negligible. Battistella et al reviewed 604 victims of traumatic prehospital cardiac arrest (304 blunt). There were only four blunt trauma survivors (1.3%) and 12 (4%) from penetrating injury. They concluded that prehospital trauma victims who are pulseless with asystole or agonal (heart rate less than 40 beats per minute) cardiacl electrical activity should be pronounced dead at the scene. Shimazu reviewed the outcome of 267 patients in cardiopulmonary arrest on arrival at hospital, of whom 217 had sustained blunt trauma. Half underwent emergency thoracotomy. Overall five patients survived (2.3%) but only two patients recovered to be functional, the others sustained permanent neurological damage. Fulton also analysed survival after traumatic cardiac arrest in a group of 245 trauma patients, 146 from blunt trauma, with only four blunt trauma survivors (2.7%). Despite these results the author concluded that patients with traumatic cardiac arrest should receive treatment.

The results after ERT for trauma are equally disappointing. The technique of resuscitative thoracotomy was first promoted by Schiff’s use of open cardiac massage in 1874, since then despite fluctuating popularity, an evidence base for rational use of the technique has gradually emerged. Rhee et al reviewed 4620 cases of emergency room thoracotomy for trauma from the literature over the past 25 years. The overall survival for penetrating injuries was 8.8% (11.5% with signs of life), however survival was only 1.4% in blunt trauma. The
The resuscitative process and emergency surgery associated with the initial care of critically injured patients also entails risks to healthcare providers themselves. Compliance with universal barrier precautions is recognised to be poor in trauma resuscitation, when the need for urgent intervention is greatest. In addition, the trauma population may be at higher risk than the general population of carrying blood borne infections and HIV. Procedures such as ERT that are associated with the rapid use of surgical instruments and exposure to patient’s blood in less than ideal circumstances must be undertaken with caution. Surgery in the face of accepted futility would needlessly put healthcare providers at increased risk.
CONCLUSION
The concept of futility in the management of severely injured patients remains controversial and there is no consensus on the treatment of these patients. Published results may be viewed as justification either for an aggressive surgical approach or an indication that intervention is futile. Despite the comparatively poor outcome described in much of the literature, surgeons and physicians involved in the acute care of these patients frequently disregard existing data in an attempt to save life. Few guidelines are available and those that are may be contradictory. While seven patients in our series died from their injuries, one elderly woman survived against all expectations. Review of the current literature suggests that resuscitative and surgical interventions were unlikely to be successful in her case.

The management of the severely injured patient requires a multi-disciplinary team. For strategies such as damage control and aggressive surgical resuscitation to be effective, all the specialists involved must agree with both the justification and aims of treatment or the attempts will be doomed to failure. Equally, in certain circumstances, all must agree that treatment would be futile.

It would be inappropriate to define from this small series the patient group where resuscitation and surgical intervention would be accepted as being futile. We continue to have difficulty deciding on the most appropriate management of these patients and whether surgical intervention is heroic or futile. We feel that there is a need to develop indicators of futility, backed up by large scale studies. Like many other areas of medicine it is a subject that may attract Clinical Guidelines at a national level, but this may raise many uncomfortable questions, and may itself just be an exercise in futility.
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